

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/686,593	10/17/2003		Peter Sibbett	59228-8003.US01	9368
	37815	7590	08/01/2006		EXAM	INER
	PERKINS C BOX 2168	PERKINS COIE LLP			CULBERT, ROBERTS P	
	MENLO PARK, CA 94025				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1763	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Commence	10/686,593	SIBBETT, PETER					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roberts Culbert	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 June 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims .							
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been i ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Si	ımmary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Application/Control Number: 10/686,593

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/21/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive to overcome the rejections of the previous Office Action.

Applicant has argued that page 6, lines 5-7 which shows that the etching depth difference in the mesh is produced on the entire surface of the finished door, and the texture of wood can be expressed on the finished door, either directly or indirectly discloses the meaning of "mesh"

The argument is not persuasive since "the etching depth difference" refers only to a difference in etching depth when repeating etching step (S320 in Fig. 1) and does not describe the mesh transfer step (S310) or the meaning of "mesh" either directly or indirectly. The fact the mesh is produced on the entire surface of the finished door, and the texture of wood can be expressed on the finished door does not teach what a mesh is or how it may assist in forming a wood grain pattern on the finished door.

Applicant has pointed out that the amended specification discloses how the mesh is applied by spraying. However the amended specification is objected to as containing new matter as recited below. Further the amended specification does not describe how a mesh may be sprayed to form a wood grain pattern instead of a random pattern.

Applicant has argued that page 4 lines 13-21 discloses how the etching area is etched. However, the cited portion of the specification discusses step (S200) and does not teach anything about step (S300)

Specification

The amendment filed 6/21/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure recites that "Under the spraying method, a liquid which can resist the etching chemicals, for example an acid-resistant substance is sprayed onto the mold where the pattern forming process has been carried

Art Unit: 1763

out. By the spraying of the liquid, the mold has a mesh pattern of the liquid. The mesh pattern is a state that the particles of liquid is scattered on the surface of the mold by spraying?

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claim 1 recites a mesh forming process comprising transferring a mesh onto the etching area using a spraying method, but does not explain what the "mesh" is, how it is applied by spraying method, how the "etching area" of the mold is etched, since the mesh is "transferred onto the entire etching area", or how the mesh process pattern relates to the patterning process pattern in forming a wood grain pattern or texture.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, elements and structural relationships between elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the method steps. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,593

Art Unit: 1763

As recited above, claim 1, recites a mesh forming process comprising transferring a mesh onto the etching area using a spraying method, but does describe the process. Further since the "mesh" is not an art recognized term, the limited description precludes a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

R. Culbert Examiner

Art Unit 1763

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763